

United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/605,056	06/28/2000	Kouhei Koyama	P107344-00003	6772
23353	7590 11/06/2003		EXAMINER	
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			CHIN, I	PETER
LION BUILD 1233 20TH ST	ING REET N.W., SUITE 501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20036		1731	

DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 12	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/605,056	KOYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter Chin	1731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, o - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pc - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s' - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a h. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communica	ation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	owance except for formal ma der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the merit D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is is
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applica	ition.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
Claim(s) are subject to restriction an Application Papers	d/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		no Evanda de	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) he held in above	re Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) d	isannroved by the Examinor	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	oapproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	5 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, (4)	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		oplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a I	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			tion)
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has be	en received	(don).
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on Aug. 6, 2003 has been entered.
- 2. Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There appears to be no support for the newly added limitation in claim 1 for the drive unit to be sized and adopted to simultaneously retain the tape winding portion stationary in an axial direction relative to the rotary drive unit along a rotational axis while permitting frictional and direct engagement of the frictional engaging portions and relative movement between the tape winding portion and rotary drive unit. Similarly there appears to be no support in the originally filed specification for the newly added limitation in claim 9 for the rotary drive unit sized and added to simultaneously retain the tape winding portion stationary in an axial direction relative to the rotary drive unit along a rotational axis while permitting frictional and direct

Application/Control Number: 09/605,056

Art Unit: 1731

engagement of the frictional engaging potions and relative rotational movement between the tape winding portion and the rotary drive unit.

3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The newly added limitations as noted above, appear to be no more than statements of intended function and do not impart any further positive structure to the claimed apparatus. Thus, the claims are incomplete as the to the positive apparatus structure necessary to accomplish or perform the claimed function.

4. Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ono et al (5,430,904).

In addition to the reasons given in the Final Rejection, Paper No.16, mailed 12/24/2002, the following is noted in regard to the newly added limitations: The newly added limitations appear to be no more than statements of intended function which do not impart any further patentable weight as to the positive apparatus structure claimed. In any event, Ono at the very least obviously show the clutch mechanism since the Ono drive unit uses frictional engagement in the manner discussed in the rejection.

5. Claims 1,2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Ono et al (5,43,904).

The claims are rejected as set forth in the Final Rejection, Paper No.16, mailed 12/24/2002 and the addition reason stated above in regard to the newly addition limitations.

Art Unit: 1731

6. Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ono et al (5,430904).

The claims are rejected for the reasons given in the Final Rejection, Paper No. 16, mailed 12/24/2002.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Chin whose telephone number is (703) 308-2046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (703) 308-1164. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Peter Chin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731